COMPARING LIVELIHOOD APPROACHES FOR THE ULTRA-POOR IN GHANA

PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

MAIN OBJECTIVES

Wage employmentFood securityFinancial inclusionSelf-employmentMarket accessSocial servicesDiversificationWomen's EmpowermentEnvironmental ManagementProductivitySocial InclusionResilience

PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVE(DESCRIPTION)

To replicate the graduation approach (which involves providing a package of services including a productive asset, coaching visits, access to savings accounts, consumption support, and health insurance) in order to determine which aspects of the program are most critical to its success in improving livelihoods of the poor.

PROGRAM COMPONENTS



TRANSFERS



COACHING



BUSINESS CAPITAL



FINANCIAL SERVICES FACILITATION



WAGE EMPLOYMENT FACILITATION



MARKET LINKS



SKILLS TRAINING



NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AND/OR CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION

AVERAGE PROGRAM DURATION FOR PROGRAM BENEFICIARIES

Under one vear

Between one and three years

More than three years

DO PARTICIPANTS ACCESS COMPONENTS IN A SPECIFIC SEQUENCE?



DIGITIZATION

COMPONENTS DELIVERED DIGITALLY

TRANSFERS

FINANCIAL SERVICES FACILITATION

COACHING

BUSINESS CAPITAL







METHODS

Community-based targeting

Community-based targeting Proxy Means Test



BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION

COUNTRY

Ghana

REGION

Sub-Saharan Africa

LEAD IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

Heifer International

TYPE LEAD

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY

Nongovernmental organization

START DATE

01/01/2016

END DATE **12/01/2022**

PRIMARY ENTRY POINT

Livelihoods and Jobs

P-CODE (WB PROGRAMS)

DNA

GLOBAL PRACTICE (WB PROGRAMS)

DNA



COUNTRY INFORMATION

LENDING CATEGORY (WB ONLY)

IDA

FCV COUNTRY (WB FY20 LIST)

No

TOTAL POPULATION (MILLION)

28.21

POVERTY HEADCOUNT (NPL)

23.40%

POVERTY HEADCOUNT (\$1.90/DAYPPP2011)

13.47%

POVERTY HEADCOUNT (MPI)

28.74%

NO. ECONOMIC INCLUSION PROGRAMS IN THE COUNTRY

2

NO. BENEFICIARIES (DIRECT & INDIRECT) OF ECONOMIC INCLUSION PROGRAMS IN THE COUNTRY

50,263



PLANNED RESEARCH AND **EVALUATION**

EVALUATION/RESEARCH TYPES

Process evaluation Impact evaluation

IMPACT EVALUATION TOPICS

Overall impact

Impact of different component variations

NAME RESEARCH PARTNERS

Christopher Udry, Dean Karlan, Nathan Barker, Gharad Bryan, Angela Ofori-Atta

DATE WHEN RESULTS AVAILABLE 2023 (tentative)

LINK TO PUBLISHED WORK N/A

Data submitted/updated as of 11/29/2019, through PEI's Landscaping Survey, via https://enketo.ona.io/x/0ah4Y58C

COMPARING LIVELIHOOD APPROACHES FOR THE ULTRA-POOR IN GHANA

PROGRAM COVERAGE 51-75% 25,582 7,330 Percentage of country population 0.09% Area/s Peri-urban Percentage of country population under the poverty 0.39% Geographic coverage Several states/regions line

INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS		
ORGANIZATIONS	INVOLVED IN IMPLEMENTATION	PROVIDING FINANCING
National/central government	•	•
Regional/district government		
Local/municipal government		
Nongovernmental organization		
Community		
Financial Service Provider	•	
World Bank		
Multilateral organization (not WB)		
Bilateral organization		
Private sector organization	•	•

Coaching

LEARN MORE www.peiglobal.org

CONTACT US





